narrow limits should enable gentlemen like the Member who are interested in the community to produce evidence easily to show that the feeling is widespread.

Member.—I shall submit a memorial.

Dewan.—You must first of all satisfy Government by means of evidence that there is a general feeling in the community on this point.

27. Jenmies and Kudians; some defects in the existing Regulation and suggestions for remedying them.

Mr. Nilakantaru Nilakantaru (Member, Jenmi, Kottayam Division) urged that early steps might be taken for the Bill amending the Jenmi and Kudiyan Regulation, which had already been promised by Government, being introduced into the Legislative Council, as the existing Regulation, V of 1071, did not satisfy either the Jenmies or the Kudiyans. He pointed out that the provisions of the Land Conservancy Regulation had been misapplied in the case of Jenmies and Kudiyans by low-paid revenue officials, which resulted in hardship to both parties. The banks of rivers which had all along been under occupation, but which at the time of the Settlement were not registered in the names of the occupants, were now declared *poramboke* and the Jenmies who had demised the adjoining lands on Kanom to Kudiyans could not consequently recover the *pattom* and *michavarom* due to them from the latter in full. He instanced a portion of the land belonging to the Puzhakkara temple in the Muvattupuzha taluk as having been recently declared *poramboke* in the face of evidence to the contrary. The Member prayed for relief from this kind of trouble.

Dewan's reply.—The Member has not made any mention of *poramboke* proceedings in the memorandum of subjects which he has submitted. I have already given my reply on this point in answer to representations made by other Members. In regard to the Jenmi and Kudiyan Regulation, I am sorry that my expectations have not been realised. At the last session of the Assembly I said that we would be in a position to introduce the Bill in the Council in a few months. But both Mr. Subba Aiyar and the Government have had so much of urgent legislative work to get through that this Regulation has had necessarily to wait. The Bill will be introduced soon after the new Legislative Council is formed. Under the rules, the Bill will be published in advance in the Gazette for information and public criticism.

28. Undesirability of abolishing the Magistrate's Court at Tovala.

Mr. Kanakku Maharaja Pillai Sivatanu Pillai (Member, Tovala Taluk) said that in the Tovala taluk there were formerly three Magistrates' Courts, viz., those at Azhakiapandipuram, Aramboly and Bhutapandi, while at present there was only one Magistrate's Court, viz., that at Bhutapandi. If this Magistrate's Court was also to be abolished, it would result in great hardship to the people, as the people of Tovala would have to travel about 20 miles to reach Nagercoil which would then be the nearest Magistrate's Court for them. The Member, therefore, requested that, if it was finally decided to abolish the Magistrate's Court at Bhutapandi, at least a Munsiff-Magistrate's Court might be established there, as also a Village Panchayat Court and a Bench Magistrate's Court when the Magisterial work was found heavy.

Dewan's reply.—In regard to the request for a Munsiff's Court, Government will consult the High Court. In regard to the Magistrate's Court, Government have not yet settled the question of re-distribution of taluks finally, and your representation in the matter will receive due consideration.

29. Undesirability of distributing the magisterial work of the Nedumangad taluk among the Magistrates of other taluks.

Mr. M. Subrahmanya Pillai (Member, Nedumangad Taluk), while feeling gratified at the action of Government in having granted an English school to the people of Nedumangad and removed the confusion in the matter of the registry of *puduvals* there, expressed dissatisfaction at the proposed abolition of the Magistrate's Court in that taluk. The taluk had 16 *pakuthies* containing over a lakh and eleven thousand inhabitants, and the people were groaning under difficulties in respect of civil suits.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly